organic papers

Acta Crystallographica Section E Structure Reports Online

ISSN 1600-5368

Yi-Ping Tong

Department of Chemistry, Hanshan Normal College, Chaozhou 521041, People's Republic of China

Correspondence e-mail: typ2469@163.com

Key indicators

Single-crystal X-ray study T = 293 K Mean σ (C–C) = 0.005 Å R factor = 0.045 wR factor = 0.110 Data-to-parameter ratio = 8.9

For details of how these key indicators were automatically derived from the article, see http://journals.iucr.org/e.

1-Benzyl-2-[2-(benzyloxy)phenyl]-1*H*-benzimidazole

The title compound, $C_{27}H_{22}N_2O$, was prepared by reaction of 2-(2-hydroxyphenyl)benzimidazole with chloromethylbenzene under reflux. The large steric effect of the benzyl substituents results in the phenolate rings and benzimidazole rings being non-coplanar. This is responsible for the observed weak photoluminescence. The crystal structure is determined by intermolecular van der Waals interactions. No hydrogenbond interactions or π - π stacking interactions were found, which is somewhat unusual for an aromatic heterocyclic compound.

Comment

There is growing interest in benzimidazole and its derivatives for their photoluminescent properties (Tong *et al.*, 2005; Wu *et al.*, 2003; Svejda *et al.*, 1978). 2-(2-Hydroxyphenyl)benzimidazole is an excellent photoluminescent material with an emitting wavelength of 444 nm in the solid state; moreover, its metal complexes with Be^{II} ions have also shown excellent blue-light emitting properties. This is shared by the analogs 2-(2-hydroxyphenyl)benzoxazole and 2-(2-hydroxyphenyl)benzothiazole, as well as their Be^{II} complexes. This is partly due to the fact that these ligands, before and after coordination to Be^{II} ions, are basically coplanar or only slightly distorted, with the dihedral angles between phenolate and benzimidazole rings being less than 10° (Tong *et al.*, 2005).



Ligand distortion can generate larger dihedral angles between phenolate and benzimidazole moieties, and result in the reduction of light-emitting capacity. For example, 2-(2ethoxyphenyl)-1-ethyl-1*H*-benzimidazole, exhibits inferior light-emitting properties than its parent compound 2-(2hydroxyphenyl)benzimidazole, owing to the larger deviation from coplanarity (Tong & Li, 2004). In our attempt to synthesize the *N*,*O*-donor ligand 2-(1-benzyl-1*H*-benzimidazol-2-yl)phenol to obtain a blue-light emitting complex with metal ions, we obtained another weakly photoluminescent compound, the title compound, (I).

In the crystal structure of (I) (Fig. 1), the C–C, C–O and C–N bond lengths are similar to those found in 2-(2-ethoxyphenyl)-1-ethyl-1*H*-benzimidazole (Tong & Li, 2004), its parent compound, 2-(2-hydroxyphenyl)benzimidazole

Received 16 June 2005 Accepted 11 August 2005 Online 17 August 2005

© 2005 International Union of Crystallography

Printed in Great Britain - all rights reserved





View (SHELXTL; Sheldrick, 2000) of the molecules of (I), with displacement ellipsoids drawn at the 50% probability level. H atoms are drawn as spheres of arbitrary radii.



Figure 2

Perspective view of the crystal stacking pattern along the crystallographic a axis. For clarity, all H atoms have been omitted.

(Tong et al., 2005; Elerman & Kabak, 1997), and metal complexes with Be^{II}, Co^{II} and Co^{III} (Tong et al., 2005; Crane et al., 1999). However, the benzimidazole and phenolate moieties are non-coplanar owing to the steric hindrance arising from effects of benzylation of the O- and N-atom positions. Thus, the dihedral angle between them increases to ca 72.2°, indicating that they are approximately perpendicular to each other, which is quite different from the coplanar conformation in 2-(2-hydroxyphenyl)benzimidazole, and 2-(1H-benzimidazol-2-yl)-6-methoxyphenol (Elerman & Kabak, 1997), but similar to the case of 2-(2-ethoxyphenyl)-1-ethyl-1H-benzimidazole, where the corresponding dihedral angle is ca 79° (Tong & Li, 2004).

The non-coplanarity, as well as the steric hindrances arising from the substituted benzyl groups at the O- and N-atom positions should also block the formation of the intermolecular $\pi - \pi$ stacking interactions, which is in good agreement with the observation of no significant intermolecular $\pi - \pi$ stacking interaction in the title compound. Moreover, no intermolecular $C-H\cdots\pi$ interaction can be found in the supramolecular array, which is in contrast to 2-(2-ethoxyphenyl)-1-ethyl-1H-benzimidazole (Tong & Li, 2004).

Furthermore, no intermolecular hydrogen bond interactions are observed. van der Waals interactions bind adjacent molecules in three dimensions (Fig. 2).

In conclusion, the observed weak photoluminescence for (I) is probably due to the non-coplanarity of the phenolate and benzimidazole moieties. In general, coplanarity enhances photoluminescent properties and deviation from coplanarity diminishes this. This is in agreement with the spectroscopic behavior of (I).

Experimental

The title compound was synthesized by a two-step reaction based on the method of Addison & Burke (1981). First, salicylic acid (0.138 g, 1 mmol) and o-phenylenediamine (0.108 g, 1 mmol) were mixed and stirred in syrupy phosphoric acid (3 ml) at a temperature of ca 520 K for 5 h to give white analytically pure 2-(2-hydroxyphenvl)benzimidazole after recrystallization of the crude product. The yield was ca 10%. Second, (I) was prepared by the reaction of 2-(2hydroxyphenyl)benzimidazole (0.021 g, 0.1 mmol) and chloromethylbenzene (0.032 g, 0.2 mmol) under reflux in a yield of ca 70%. The X-ray quality single crystal used in the structure determination was grown by slow evaporation of an ethanol solution over several days. Analysis found: C 83.25, H 5.59, N 7.26%; calculated for C₂₇H₂₂N₂O: C 83.05, H 5.68, N 7.17%.

Crystal data

$C_{27}H_{22}N_2O$ M = 390.47	Mo $K\alpha$ radiation Cell parameters from 2398
Orthorhombic <i>Pna</i> ?	reflections
a = 102724(9) Å	$\theta = 2.4 - 20.4^{\circ}$
h = 15.2724 (0) R h = 15.8390 (13) Å	$\mu = 0.08 \text{ mm}^{-1}$
$c = 12.0770 (11) \text{\AA}$	$\mu = 0.00 \text{ mm}$ T = 203 (2) K
$V = 2111.6(2) Å^3$	I = 255 (2) K
V = 2111.0 (3) A	$0.52 \times 0.22 \times 0.28$ mm
Z = 4	$0.53 \times 0.33 \times 0.28 \text{ mm}$
$D_x = 1.228 \text{ Mg m}^{-1}$	
Data collection	
Bruker APEX area-detector	2400 independent reflections
diffractometer	2048 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$
φ and ω scans	$R_{\rm int} = 0.029$
Absorption correction: multi-scan	$\theta_{\rm max} = 27.0^{\circ}$
(SADABS; Sheldrick, 1996)	$h = -13 \rightarrow 12$
$T_{\min} = 0.961, \ T_{\max} = 0.979$	$k = -20 \rightarrow 10$
11837 measured reflections	$l = -16 \rightarrow 15$
Refinement	
Refinement on F^2	$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_0^2) + (0.0548P)^2]$
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.045$	+ 0.1914P]
$wR(F^2) = 0.110$	where $P = (F_0^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$
S = 1.08	$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} < 0.001$
2400 reflections	$\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.16 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$
271 parameters	$\Delta \rho_{\text{min}} = -0.13 \text{ e} \text{ Å}^{-3}$
H-atom parameters constrained	

The H atoms were placed at calculated positions in the ridingmodel approximation (C-H = 0.93 Å for aromatic H atoms, and 0.97 Å for methylene H atoms) with their displacement parameters tied to those of the parent atoms; $U_{iso}(H) = 1.2U_{eq}(C)$ for all aromatic and methylene H atoms.

organic papers

Data collection: *SMART* (Bruker, 2001); cell refinement: *SAINT* (Bruker, 2001); data reduction: *SAINT*; program(s) used to solve structure: *SHELXS97* (Sheldrick, 1997); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL97* (Sheldrick, 1997); molecular graphics: *SHELXTL* (Sheldrick, 2000); software used to prepare material for publication: *SHELXL97*.

The author thanks Hanshan Normal College, China, for support of this work

References

Addison, A. W. & Burke, P. J. (1981). J. Heterocycl. Chem. 18, 803-805.

- Bruker. (2001). SAINT and SMART. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Crane, D. J., Sinn, E. & Tann, B. (1999). Polyhedron, 18, 1527-1532.
- Elerman, Y. & Kabak, M. (1997). Acta Cryst. C53, 372-374.
- Flack, H. D. (1983). Acta Cryst. A39, 876-881.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1996). SADABS. University of Göttingen, Germany.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1997). SHELXS97 and SHELXL97. University of Göttingen, Germany.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (2000). *SHELXTL*. Version 6.10, Siemens Analytical X-ray Instruments Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Svejda, P., Anderson, R. R. & Maki, A. H. (1978). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 100, 7131–7138.
- Tong, Y.-P. & Li, W. (2004). Acta Cryst. E60, o1563-o1565.
- Tong, Y.-P., Zheng, S.-L. & Chen, X.-M. (2005). Inorg. Chem. 44, 4270–4275.
- Wu, T., Li, D., Feng, X. L. & Cai, J. W. (2003). Inorg. Chem. Commun. 6, 886–890.